

THE MARITIME LAWYER

VOLUME IX

FALL 1984

NUMBER 2

CONTENTS

ARTICLES

- 44.1 WAYS TO PROVE FOREIGN LAW..... *John R. Brown* 179
- THE WATER POLLUTION CONTROL ACT
AND THE WRECK ACT: MARITIME TORT
LIABILITY AND CONGRESSIONAL
INTENT (IF ANY)..... *Albert Tate, Jr.* 197
- ARBITRATION IN THE UNITED STATES *Herbert M. Lord* 227
- COMPARATIVE MARITIME LIENS:
ANGLO AND LATIN BASED LAW
IN THE AMERICAS *Ivon d'Almeida Pires Filho* 245

COMMENT

- STATELESS VESSELS AND THE
HIGH SEAS NARCOTICS TRADE:
UNITED STATES COURTS DEVIATE
FROM INTERNATIONAL PRINCIPLES
OF JURISDICTION..... *Laura L. Roos* 273

NOTES

- CONDITIONAL ORDERS OF DISMISSAL
FOR *FORUM NON CONVENIENS* ARE
APPEALABLE "FINAL DECISIONS"
UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1291—*KOKE V.*
PHILLIPS PETROLEUM CO.... *Richard D. Bertram* 297

<p>COMPULSORY REMOVAL AND THE P & I POLICY: FIFTH CIRCUIT APPLIES ITS OBJECTIVE TEST AND REJECTS THE SECOND CIRCUIT’S TERM OF ART APPROACH—<i>CONTINENTAL OIL CO. V. BONANZA CORP.</i>.....</p>	<p><i>L. Alan Rivers</i></p>	<p>312</p>
<p>THE JONES ACT AND COMMERCIAL DIVERS: PERILOUS MARITIME DUTIES MAY SATISFY THE <i>ROBISON</i> TEST— <i>WALLACE V. OCEANEERING INTERNATIONAL</i></p>	<p><i>James Wesley Sowell</i></p>	<p>323</p>
<p>NO SPECIAL MYSTIQUE OF ADMIRALTY LAW MAKES THE GOVERNMENT LIABLE AS A THIRD PARTY IN SUITS FOR INJURIES TO MILITARY EMPLOYEES: SEVENTH CIRCUIT APPLIES THE <i>FERES</i> DOCTRINE AND FINDS NO RIGHT TO INDEMNITY WHERE THE GOVERNMENT HAD NO LEGAL DUTY IN THE FIRST PLACE— <i>HILLIER V. SOUTHERN TOWING CO.</i></p>	<p><i>Jaime Waters</i></p>	<p>337</p>